

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/926,817	12/21/2001	Yukihiro Fujieda	217411US0 XPCT	4758	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
			BRUENJES, CHRISTOPHER P		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1772	<u>-</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/926,817	FUJIEDA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher P Bruenjes	1772		

Defere the Filing of an Annual Priof			r <del></del>				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	,				
	Christopher P Bruenjes	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	because				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: see continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.14	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	oTE below); educing or simplifying					
		omnliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 18-26,28-30,32-51,53-55 and 57-62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 09/926,817

Art Unit: 1772

#### ADVISORY ACTION

## Acknowledgement of Applicant's Amendment

1. The amendments made in the Paper filed March 21, 2004 have not been entered due to the fact that they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Specifically, changing the transitional claim language from "comprising" to "consisting essentially of" changes the scope of the claim and raises new issues because further consideration is required to determine if the random copolymer mixed with the block copolymer forming the hydrogenated copolymer materially affects the basic and novel characteristics of the invention.

The attached Declaration is also not entered because it is presented in order to overcome the rejections in combination with the amendments to the claims that have not been entered. Therefore, because the amendments have not been entered the Declaration is not commensurate in scope with the claims.

## ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

2. Applicant's arguments regarding the rejections of record have not been considered because they are not commensurate in scope with the claims, since the arguments relate to the non-entered amendments.

Art Unit: 1772

#### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher P Bruenjes Examiner Art Unit 1772 CPB CFB April 4, 2005

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 4/4/